1 2	Bingham McCutchen LLP DAVID M. BALABANIAN (SBN 37368) CHRISTOPHER B. HOCKETT (SBN 121539)			
3	JOY K. FUYUNO (SBN 193890) Three Embarcadero Center			
4	San Francisco, CA 94111-4067 Telephone: (415) 393-2000			
<b>5 6</b>	Facsimile: (415) 393-2286  Attorneys for Defendant Intel Corporation			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
11				
12	KAROL JUSKIEWICZ, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	No. C-05-3094- <b>BZ</b> JSW		
13 14	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE FILING DATE FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO		
15	INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT		
16 17	Defendant.			
18	IT IS STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR			
19	COUNSEL AS FOLLOWS:			
20	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff Karol Juskiewicz and Defendant Intel			
21	Corporation hereby stipulate that Intel Corporation's response to Plaintiff's complaint shall be			
22	due either 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case pursuant to any motion to coordinate			
23	or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407 or, in the alternative, 45 days			
24	after any such motion has been denied. The parties request this extension of time to answer or			
25 26	otherwise respond because the plaintiffs in Brauch,	et al. v. Intel Corp., No. C 05-2743 (BZ)		

1	(N.D. Cal., filed July 5, 2005), a related matter, have filed a petition to coordinate or consolidate		
2	pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407, and the above-styled action has been identified		
3	as a related action to that petition. As a result the outcome of the pending petition will impact		
4	significantly the schedule of this case.		
5	This is the first stipulation between the parties. Because this litigation has just		
6	begun, granting such a stipulation will not have any negative impact on the schedule of this case.		
7	II IS HERED I STH CERTED.		
8	DATED: August 11, 2005		
9	Bingham McCutchen LLP		
10			
11		By: /s/ Joy K. Fuyuno	
12		JOY K. FUYUNO	
13		Attorneys for Defendant Intel Corporation	
14	DATED: August 16, 2005		
15		Trump, Alioto, Trump and Prescott	
16		Trainp, Anoto, Trainp and Trescott	
17			
18		By: /s/ Mario N. Alioto  MARIO N. ALIOTO	
19		Attorneys for Plaintiff  Karol Juskiewicz	
20		Rafof Juskiewicz	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26		2	
		<del>-</del>	

1		
2	<b>PROPOSED</b> ORDER TO CONTINUE DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE DATE	
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Intel Corporation's response to	
4	Plaintiff's complaint shall be due either 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case	
5	pursuant to any motion to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section	
6	1407, or, in the alternative, 45 days after any such motion has been denied.	
7	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	Dated: August 18, 2005	
9	Jeffer Robert	
10	Tong Joint Bernard Zimmerman	
11	Honorable Jeffrey S. White	
12	United States District Court Judge	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
<b>25</b>		
26		